Development - Long Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700486	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700486	

A. Statement of Development Activity

This project would complete the planning and environmental analysis and the design and construction of a new hardened camping area on the Georgetown Ranger District that protects resources, while allowing for camping in a setting less formal than a fully dev eloped campground. The project would provide for motorized access to the Long Canyon Camping Area. This area was very popular for dispersed camping prior to the 2005 Federal Court Order and the 2008 Travel Management Record of Decision for the Eldorado National Forest. Since that time, use of the Long Canyon Camping Area has been prohibited. However, people continue to use this area and it has taken considerable amounts of time to educate the public where they can drive their vehicles and achieving compliance. This project will establish where people can drive their vehicles for non-motorized recreation activities (dispersed camping). The project will involve the installation of a vault toilet to provide sanitation facilities for this camping area and installation of barrier rocks and other site hardening measures to manage where vehicles travel. The Forest Service already has the vault toilet, but has been unable to install it, because the planning, environmental analysis and public involvement have not been completed.

This new camping area would be located near the confluence of South Fork Long Canyon and North Fork Long Canyon. The road access is via the Eleven Pines Road (Forest System Road 14N08) and the 14N08A Road. The camping area is located in the vicinity of the 14N16 Road. The total area of ground disturbance is estimated to be 2 acres.

People have been using this area for dispersed camping for many years prior to 2005. There are established routes for travel to the camping areas, however these sites were developed by users and did not have considerations for resource protection built into their design or engineering. This project would provide for the resource protection in design and engineering during Phase 1 of this project. Project funds would be used to fund the work of an interdisciplinary team consisting of an archaeologist, botanist, hydrologist, fisheries biologist, landscape architect, engineering technician, wildlife biologist and a team leader to prepare an environmental analysis for the District Ranger to make a decision on. Project funds will be used to fund the Forest Service landscape architect to design the camping area facility and for a Forest Service engineer to prepare and administer the construction contract.

Phase 2 of this project would complete the construction of the camping area. Project construction work would involve grading and leveling the site in accordance with the design plans, placing a base of aggregate gravel and then compacting and smoothing the surface for vehicle travel. The project would involve installation of the vault toilet, which the Forest Service has already acquired. The project would also involve installing barrier rocks to prevent vehicles from traveling outside of the designed areas of travel and to protect natural resources from adverse impacts such as trampling of vegetation, soil compaction, rutting and soil erosion from unauthorized vehicle travel. The project would also include the installation of a new information kiosk at the Long Canyon Camping Area.

B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

This project would provide a needed camping area on the Georgetown Ranger District. The new camping area would develop an existing but unmanaged dispersed camping area. The existing dispersed camping area is not well defined, does not have adequate sanitation facilities and may encroach too close to the riparian habitat along Long Canyon Creek. The existing dispersed camping area is located on an archaeological site that is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Engineering where the vehicles can travel will be important for protecting this archaeological site.

This camping area would serve visitors who want an alternative to the regular Forest Service developed campgrounds. It would be very primitive by providing roads to the camping area, places to park, a vault toilet and level areas to camp. The dispersed campers come from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys, the San Francisco Bay Area as well as local residents from Amador, El Dorado and Placer Counties. These visitors enjoy dispersed camping. Some may enjoy riding OHVs on the dirt roads in the Nevada Point and Ralston Ridge area surrounding the Long Canyon Camping Area or travel on the dirt roads to access other recreation opportunities such as fishing and hiking. Other OHV opportunities in the area include the Hunter Trail motorcycle route and the Hell Hole 4WD Trail.

Version # Page: 1 of 14

This project would provide a camping area with designated roads to well defined parking areas for dispersed camping, while protecting the archaeological resources from inadvertent damage from un-managed vehicle travel. The vault toilet would provide better sanitation facilities, which would reduce the exposure to human waste that visitors are currently experiencing. The vault toilet would also reduce the effects on the aquatic resources of Long Canyon Creek from human waste contamination due to poor sanitary practices.

C. Size of the proposed development

The size of this project would involve approximately 2 acres to provide approximately half a mile of roads to the various parking and camping spots of this area.

Timeline for Project Completion

Attachments:

Long Canyon Dispersed Camping

3/1/2010

E. **Location and Description of OHV Opportunities**

The project is located approximately 19 air miles northeast of Georgetown, California near the intersection of the Eleven Pines Road (14N08) and the Lower Meadows Road (14N16). The Long Canyon Camping Area is approximately 6 miles from the Hell Hole 4WD Trail (14N09A) and approximately 6 miles from the eastern end of the Hunters Trail (a rugged motorcycle trail along the Rubicon River). There are also a number dirt roads on Nevada Point Ridge and Ralston Ridge that provide OHV opportunities generally from mid-May until early November. This camping area would provide some closer access to OHV opportunities, but also provides motorized access to non-motorized activities such as dispersed camping, water play, swimming, fishing, hunting and prospecting for valuable minerals.

Version # Page: 2 of 14

Additional Documentation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____ APP # 700486

1. Conceptual Drawings and Site Plans

Attachments:

Long Canyon Dispersed Use Conceptual Drawing

2. Land Tenure Certification

3. Project Specific Maps

Attachments:

Long Canyon Dispersed Use Vicinity Map

Long Canyon Dispersed Use Project Map

Long Canyon Project and MVUM

4. Optional Project-Specific Application Documents

Attachments:

Version #

Long Canyon Dispersed Use Area Photos

Page: 3 of 14

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Eldorado National Forest Application: Development - Long Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL)

Project Cost Estimate

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #		APP #		
APPLIC	ANT NAME :	USFS - Eldorado National Forest					
PROJE	CT TITLE :	Development - Long Canyon Dispersed U	lse (FINAL)		PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only):	G09-02-03-D02	
PROJE	CT TYPE :	☐ Acquisition ☑	Development	☐ Educa	ation & Safety	Ground Operations	
APPLICANT NAME : PROJECT TITLE : PROJECT TYPE : PROJECT DESCRIPTION Line Item		Law Enforcement	Planning	Resto	oration		
PROJE	CT DESCRIPTION :	This project would complete the planning Ranger District that protects resources, w for motorized access to the Long Canyon 2008 Travel Management Record of Deciprohibited. However, people continue to vehicles and achieving compliance. This camping). The project will involve the instother site hardening measures to manage the planning, environmental analysis and This new camping area would be located Pines Road (Forest System Road 14N08) disturbance is estimated to be 2 acres. People have been using this area for disp however these sites were developed by u would provide for the resource protection interdisciplinary team consisting of an arcl and a team leader to prepare an environmal landscape architect to design the camping Phase 2 of this project would complete the accordance with the design plans, placing involve installation of the vault toilet, which vehicles from traveling outside of the desicompaction, rutting and soil erosion from Canyon Camping Area.	hile allowing for camping Camping Area. This are sion for the Eldorado Nause this area and it has the project will establish whe tallation of a vault toilet to where vehicles travel. Public involvement have near the confluence of Sand the 14N08A Road. ersed camping for many sers and did not have coin design and engineering haeologist, botanist, hydrental analysis for the Digarea facility and for a Factorist construction of the camping base of aggregate grant the Forest Service has gned areas of travel and	in a setting less formate a was very popular for tional Forest. Since the aken considerable amount of the Forest Service alrest of the Forest Service alrest outh Fork Long Canyo The camping area is less outh Fork Long Canyo The camping area is less outh Fork Long Canyo The camping area is less outh Fork Long Canyo The camping area is less outh Fork Long Canyo The camping area 1 of the rologist, fisheries biologistrict Ranger to make a corest Service engineer aping area. Project corevel and then compactical ready acquired. The to protect natural reso	If than a fully dev eloped came dispersed camping prior to the time, use of the Long Canyounts of time to educate the perior vehicles for non-motorized elilities for this camping area are addy has the vault toilet, but he nand North Fork Long Canyocated in the vicinity of the 14 here are established routes for project. Project funds word is project. Project funds word is project. Project funds word is project on. Project funds word in the project would also involve in the project form adverse impacts in the project form adverse in the project form adverse	pground. The project wo he 2005 Federal Court Or on Camping Area has be public where they can drivid recreation activities (dispind installation of barrier reas been unable to install on. The road access is vian16 Road. The total are or travel to the camping a lesign or engineering. Thuld be used to fund the wo ineering technician, wildliwill be used to fund the Fore construction contract. It grading and leveling the efor vehicle travel. The pastalling barrier rocks to provide the construction of veges and to the posterior of the pastalling barrier rocks to provide the construction of the posterior as trampling of veges and the supplier of the pastalling barrier rocks to provide the construction of the posterior of the posterio	uld provide rder and the een ee their persed ocks and it, because a the Eleven ea of ground areas, is project ork of an fe biologist orest Service site in project would revent etation, soil
	Line Item		Qty	Rate UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIRECT	EXPENSES						
Progran	n Expenses						
1	Staff						

Version # Page: 4 of 14

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Eldorado National Forest Application: Development - Long Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL)

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
	Other-Landscape Architect GS-11	10.000	340.000	DAY	1,900.00	1,500.00	3,400.00
	Other-Dist Resource Officer GS-11	30.000	370.000	DAY	1,200.00	9,900.00	11,100.00
	Other-Environmental Coordinator GS-11	10.000	380.000	DAY	2,800.00	1,000.00	3,800.00
	Other-Bio/Bot/Hydro/Arch GS-11	40.000	350.000	DAY	13,000.00	1,000.00	14,000.00
	Other-Engineering Tech/COR	20.000	370.000	DAY	7,400.00	0.00	7,400.00
	Other-Construction Supervisor	5.000	350.000	DAY	1,750.00	0.00	1,750.00
	Heavy Equipment Operator	20.000	240.000	DAY	4,800.00	0.00	4,800.00
	Maintenance Worker	10.000	150.000	DAY	1,500.00	0.00	1,500.00
	Other-Recreation Tech GS-6	10.000	175.000	DAY	1,750.00	0.00	1,750.00
	Other-Forest Recreation Staff GS-12	5.000	420.000	DAY	1,260.00	840.00	2,100.00
	Total for Staff				37,360.00	14,240.00	51,600.00
2	Contracts						
	Other-Crane for Toilet Installation	1.000	2000.000	DAY	1,000.00	1,000.00	2,000.00
3	Materials / Supplies						
	Other-Kiosk	1.000	2000.000	EA	2,000.00	0.00	2,000.00
	Other-Boulders for Barriers	150.000	60.000	EA	0.00	9,000.00	9,000.00
	Other-Crushed aggregate rock	1.000	10000.000	EA	10,000.00	0.00	10,000.00
	Other-Miscellaneous supplies	1.000	200.000	EA	200.00	0.00	200.00
	Total for Materials / Supplies				12,200.00	9,000.00	21,200.00
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
	Equipment Rental	2.000	900.000	EA	800.00	1,000.00	1,800.00
	Other-Vehicle mileage	4000.000	0.500	МІ	1,500.00	500.00	2,000.00

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Eldorado National Forest Application: Development - Long Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL)

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
	Other-Truck & Equipment Trailer	600.000	1.140	MI	0.00	684.00	684.00
	Other-Dump Truck	800.000	1.310	МІ	1,048.00	0.00	1,048.00
	Other-Grader	40.000	14.040	HRS	562.00	0.00	562.00
	Water Truck	300.000	2.040	MI	612.00	0.00	612.00
	Total for Equipment Use Expenses				4,522.00	2,184.00	6,706.00
5 Equipment Purchases							
6	Others						
	Other-Newpaper notices	6.000	80.000	EA	0.00	480.00	480.00
	Stationery Supplies	1.000	100.000	EA	0.00	100.00	100.00
	Total for Others				0.00	580.00	580.00
7	Indirect Costs						
	Indirect Costs-Accounting	1.000	4500.000	EA	2,500.00	2,000.00	4,500.00
Total	Program Expenses				57,582.00	29,004.00	86,586.00
TOT/	AL DIRECT EXPENSES				57,582.00	29,004.00	86,586.00
TOT	AL EXPENDITURES				57,582.00	29,004.00	86,586.00

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Eldorado National Forest Application: Development - Long Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL)

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative
DIRI	ECT EXPENSES				
Prog	gram Expenses				
1	Staff	37,360.00	14,240.00	51,600.00	
2	Contracts	1,000.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	
3	Materials / Supplies	12,200.00	9,000.00	21,200.00	
4	Equipment Use Expenses	4,522.00	2,184.00	6,706.00	
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Others	0.00	580.00	580.00	
7	Indirect Costs	2,500.00	2,000.00	4,500.00	
Tota	l Program Expenses	57,582.00	29,004.00	86,586.00	
тот	AL DIRECT EXPENSES	57,582.00	29,004.00	86,586.00	
тот	AL EXPENDITURES	57,582.00	29,004.00	86,586.00	

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version #	APP # 700486				
ı	ITEM 1 and ITEM 2					
	ITEM 1					
a.	ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) been filed for the Pro (Please select Yes or No)	oject?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2					
b.	Does the proposed Project include a request for funding for CEQA and/or it document preparation prior to implementing the remaining Project Delivera a two-phased Project pursuant to Section 4970.06.1(b)) (Please select Ye	ables (i.e., is it	•	Yes	C	No
ı	ITEM 3 - Project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378					
C.	ITEM 3 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA Guidelines Se (Please select Yes or No)	ection 15378? (C	Yes	C	No
d.	The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and support to enfand ensure public safety. These activities would not cause any physical imenvironment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. (Please select Yes	pacts on the	C	Yes	С	No
e.	Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause any physical impact a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 4 – 10	cts on the enviro	nm	ent and	are t	thus not
ı	ITEM 4 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands					
ı	ITEM 5 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project					
I	ITEM 6 - Soil Impacts					
ı	ITEM 7 - Damage to Scenic Resources					
ı	ITEM 8 - Hazardous Materials					
	Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included on any list compiled Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (hazardous materials) select Yes or No)	•	C	Yes	C	No
	If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to the Project site, the letaken to minimize or avoid the hazards.	evel of hazard ar	nd t	he meas	ure	s to be
ı	ITEM 9 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical or Cultural Resource	ces				
	Would the proposed Project have potential for any substantial adverse imphistorical or cultural resources? (Please select Yes or No)	pacts to	C	Yes	С	No
	Discuss the potential for the proposed Project to have any substantial adversesources.	erse impacts to h	nisto	orical or	cultu	ıral

ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts

Page: 8 of 14 Version #

Page: 9 of 14

CEQA/NEPA Attachment

Version #

Evaluation Criteria

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # APP # 700486
1	Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto populates from Cost Estimate)
1.	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is 3
	(Note: This field will auto-populate once the Cost Estimate and Evaluation Criteria are Validated.) (Please select one from list) 76% or more (10 points) 51% - 75% (5 points) 26% - 50% (3 points) 25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Q 2a., 2b., & 2c.
2. Nat	ural and Cultural Resources
a.	Natural and Cultural Resources: Species 3
	Enter the number of special-status species that are known to occur in the Project Area
	Number of special-status species 2
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list) No special-status species occur in Project Area (5 points) One to five special-status species occur in Project area (3 points) Six to ten special-status species in Project area (2 points) More than ten special-status species occur in Project area (No points)
b. Hal	pitat
b.	Natural and Cultural Resources: Habitat 3
	Potential Effects on special-status species habitat (Check the one most appropriate)
	Special-status species habitat is known to occur in the Project Area (if YES, enter number of species) (Please select Yes or No)
	Habitat for special-status species known to occur in Project Area (enter number of species) 3
	Reference Document
	To be developed during the environmental analysis and decision for the Long Canyon Camping Area Project.
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list) No special-status species habitat is known to occur in the Project area (5 points) Habitat for one to five special-status species is known to occur in Project area (3 points) Habitat for six to ten special-status species is known to occur in Project area (2 points) Habitat for more than ten special-status species is known to occur in Project area (No points)
c. Cul	tural Resources

c. Cultural Resources 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

3/1/2010

3/1/2010

		Project would provide additional protection to cultura	I sites (5 points)
		Project area has no known cultural sites (4 points)	
		C Identified cultural sites in the Project area will not be	affected (3 points)
		Project impacts to cultural sites will be mitigated (No	points)
		 Project has unavoidable detrimental impacts to culture returned to Applicant without further consideration) 	ral resources (No points, Project application will be
		Reference Document	
		Archaeological Site Testing and Evaluation of the Long Ca and Decision for the Long Canyon Camping Area to be de	
3	ı	Diversified Use - Q 3.	
	3.	3. The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use	2
		(Check all that apply) Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maxim	num of 6 points (Please select applicable values)
		☐ ATV	▼ 4X4
		☐ M.C.	Recreation Utility Vehicle (RUV)
		☐ Snowmobile	☐ Dune buggy, rail
		Other (Specify) [SUV, passenger cars]	
		Describe the nature of the facilities for each item checked	above:
		4X4 would be able to drive to park their vehicles at approprise designed for campers to drive their vehicles off highway Area.	
4	ı	Publicly Reviewed Plan - Q 4.	
	4.	1. Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan that support	ts the need for the Project? 5
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from	n list)
		No (No points)	Fee (5 points)
		Identify plan	
		Eldorado National Forest Travel Management EIS and RC	DD D
5	I	Recycled Materials - Q 5.	
	5.	5. The Project makes substantial use of recycled content but	lding materials such as 5
		Materials diverted from landfills	
		Recycled plastic lumber	
		Fly ash content concrete	
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from	n list)
		No (No points)	Fee (5 points)
		Explain 'Yes' response	
		The project would make use of green line Carsonite recyc and directions for using the site. The information kiosk will plastic.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Sustainable Technologies - Q 6.

Page: 11 of 14 Version #

3/1/2010

Applicant. Our o	Lidorado National i Orcst
Application: Development - L	ong Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL

6.	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as: 4						
	Alternative fuel vehicles and equipment						
	Repaving with permeable asphalt						
	Renewable energy sources (e.g., solar, wind)						
	• Low volatile organic compound emission materials (e.g., paint, sealants, carpet)						
	Practices that meet U.S. Green Building Council LEED Silver standard						
	Water efficient landscaping						
	Low-flow plumbing fixtures						
	Utilizing local building materials						
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list) No (No points) Yes (4 points)						
	Explain 'Yes' response						
	A. Utilizes local building materials, will collect barrier rocks from the nearby French Meadows Spillway Improvement Project, thus reducing the fuel costs and emissions from transporting barrier rocks to the Long Canyon Camping Area project.						
	B. Utilizes a Sweet Smelling Technology (SST) pre-fabricated vault toilet for the restroom that would minimize the water use needed to capture and retain of human waste.						
	C. This project would not involve any paving, so travel and parking surfaces with crushed aggregate rock would retain some permeability to recharge ground water.						
	D. No planting of vegetation will be done, so no irrigation system is necessary. Native vegetation would be utilized for landscaping relying only on natural precipitation.						
	Sustain Existing OHV Recreation - Q 7.						
7.	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation 2						
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)						
	Project directly improves or sustains existing OHV Opportunity (3 points)						
	Project improves support facilities associated with existing OHV Opportunity (2 points)						
	Project involves construction of a facility associated with new OHV Opportunity (No points)						
	Motorized Access - Q 8.						
8.	The Project improves facilities that provide motorized access to the following nonmotorized recreation opportunities 6						
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points each, up to a maximum of 6 points (Please select applicable values) ☑ Camping ☐ Birding						
	☐ Hiking ☐ Equestrian trails						
	✓ Other (Specify) [Water play]						
	Public Input - Q 9.						
9.	The Project was developed with public input employing the following 2						
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values) Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)						
	Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)						

7

8

9

Version # Page: 12 of 14

Application: Development - Long Canyon Dispersed Use (FINAL)

Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)

Explain each statement that was checked

A notice was posted on the Eldorado National Forest website homepage on February 26, 2010, notifying the public that the Forest was developing a grant application and that comments were requested.

On March 1, 2010, approximately 300 letters and 600 emails were also mailed to individuals and groups interested in OHV recreation on the Forest. These letters notified our OHV uses that our preliminary application, including this project, was available for review and that requested comments.

A public meeting was held on March 17, 2010 to discuss this project at the Eldorado NF Supervisor's Office. The public was notified about this meeting through the letters and emails sent and through a notice posted on the Forest's website. On February 5, 2010, a stakeholder meeting was held at the Georgetown Ranger District, during which the participants were informed about the upcoming opportunity to comment on the project.

10

Utilization of Partnerships - Q 10.		
 The Project will utilize partnerships organizations that will participate in 	•	Project. The number of partner
(Check the one most appropriate) (4 or more (4 points) None (No points)	•	C 1 (1 point)
List partner organization(s)		
Primary Funding Source - Q 11.		
11. Primary funding source for future o	perational costs associated with	h the Project will be 5
(Check the one most appropriate) (Applicant's operational budge: Volunteer support and/or dona Other Grant funding (2 points) OHV Trust Funds (No points)	t (5 points) ations (3 points)	
Explain checked statement		
Applicant will use its operational bu	dget to be the primary source of	of funding for this project in the future
Officia Impacta O 12		

12 Offsite Impacts - Q 12.

11

12. Offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff) have been addressed: 4 (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list) No (No points) Yes (4 points)

Explain 'Yes' response

Vehicles used by visitors will be limited to where they can travel by engineering roads and parking areas defined by barrier rocks, topography and natural vegetation. The roads and parking areas would be designed with water diversion structures to control erosion and to disperse water where sediments can be filtered or settled out before the water reaches Long Canyon Creek. The cultural resources in the area would be protected from further damage by vehicles through the use of barrier rocks to prevent vehicles from traveling onto the cultural resources and installing filter fabric and crushed aggregate rock to form a protective barrier for cultural resources.

Version # Page: 13 of 14

13 F	Riparian/Wetland Issues	- Q	₹13.
------	-------------------------	-----	------

3. Does the Project Area contain Riparian/	Wetland issues? 0
(Check the one most appropriate) (Please No (10 points)	se select one from list) • Yes (if yes - respond to item below)
The Project utilizes the following techniq 6	ues to prevent damage to, or restore Riparian/Wetland areas
(Check all that apply) (Please select app	olicable values)
Re-routes to divert trails away from	Riparian/Wetlands areas (2 points)
Well documented evaluation and m	nonitoring strategies (list reference document) (2 points)
Provide bridges instead of wet cros	sings (2 points)
✓ Provide sanitary facilities (2 points)	
Restrict public vehicular access in I bollard, boulders) (2 points)	Riparian/Wetland areas by placing physical barriers (e.g., gates, fences,

Reference Document

Phase 1 of this project will produce the environmental analysis and decision document for how the Riparian/Wetland issues are resolved. The environmental analysis and decision include a proposed action that involves installing the vault toilet the Forest Service already possesses. The environmental analysis to be developed will also include evaluation and monitoring strategies to achieve to objectives of providing dispersed recreation opportunities and resource protection for this project.

Page: 14 of 14 Version #